

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/722,173	11/24/2003	Robert A. Moss	MOSR01NP	7011	
23892 7:	590 01/14/2005		EXAMINER		
DAVID S AL	AVI		HOLZEN, S	TEPHEN A	
3762 WEST 11TH AVENUE #408			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
EUGENE, OR 97402			3644	3644	
		•	DATE MAILED: 01/14/2009	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Comments	10/722,173	MOSS, ROBERT A.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
T. MAN ING SASS 211	Stephen A. Holzen	3644			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply 1 If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period of t	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nety filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 N</u>	ovember 2004.				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		•			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/24/2003. 		Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/722,173 Page 2

Art Unit: 3644

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-4, 7, 10, 11, 13-25 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Stuchlik (5,363,834).

Re – Claims 1, 10, 11, 13 and 27: Stuchlik discloses a compressed gas chamber (136), a barrel (126), a firing valve (220), a second cylinder (226) connected to the compressed gas chamber (216) a secondary piston (236) a gas liquefied gas chamber (Col. 6, lines 30), a valve for transferring liquefied gas (240), a cocking mechanism (242), a firing mechanism (346), a primary cylinder (318) and a primary piston (316) and the cocking mech. moves the primary piston within the primary cylinder (340), where the lever is connected to the airgun and a linkage connects the lever to the piston (322), liquefied gas reservoirs (58) and a valve (250), safety (328)

- a. Re Claims 2, 3, 4, 7 and 25: These claims do not serve to further limit the parent claim because they are functional in nature. Their limitations are not afforded patentable weight.
- b. Re Claims 14-18: These claims are functional in nature and have been afforded no patentable weight. However it should be noted that Stuchlik is "capable" of performing these functions.

Application/Control Number: 10/722,173

Art Unit: 3644

c. Re – Claim 19: wherein the cocking mechanism includes a lever pivotably connected to the airgun and a mechanical linkage connected to the lever for closing the firing valve (see Figure 5)

Page 3

- d. Re Claim 20: wherein the cocking mechanism includes a lever pivotably connoted to the airgun, and a mechanical linkage connected to the lever for actuating the transfer valve (see Figure 5).
- e. Re Claim 21, 22 and 23: Wherein the first gas is ambient air and the second gas is carbon dioxide, and wherein the transfer valve comprise a shuttle valve (see Figure 5)
- f. Re Claim 24: further comprising a passage for enabling gas to vent from the back volume during filling of the compressed gas chamber with the first gas and prior to traversing the volume of liquefied second gas into the liquefied gas chamber. (see figure 5).
- 3. Claims 5, 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Stuchlik (5,363,834). Stuchlik discloses coking the airgun and firing the airgun (see Abstract), where the first gas compressed air (see abstract), wherein the second gas is carbon dioxide It should be noted that all limitations after the word "thereby" have not been afforded patentable weight because they are not method steps and only serve to disclose how the gun is used.

Application/Control Number: 10/722,173

Art Unit: 3644

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Page 4

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 6, 12 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stuchlik (5,363,834). Stuchlik discloses every aspect of the applicant's invention except wherein the first gas is compressed between about 400 psig and about 600 psig in the compressed gas chamber. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to compress the first gas to between about 400psig and about 600psig in the compressed gas chamber since is has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. In re Allen, 105 USPQ 233. (see also Sullivan 5,339,791 who teaches that chambers should be compressed between 300 and 2000 psig).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 7. Claims 2, 3, 4, 7 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter

Application/Control Number: 10/722,173 Page 5

Art Unit: 3644

which applicant regards as the invention. The manner in which the claims were written do not limit the parent claim. The claims are narrative and functional in nature rendering the claim indefinite because the scope of the claim cannot be determined.

- 8. Claims 2, 3, 4, 7 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which the applicant regards as the invention. The limitation "upon" is indefinite. When does this action occur? The word upon does not limit the functional language that follows in a "time sequence" and is does not specifically set out any method steps involved in the use of the invention.
- 9. Claim 26 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The use of the word "remains" is unclear. Is the applicant attempting to claim a method step here? When should these values "remain"? The language is unclear because it does not allow a reader to determine the scope of the claim.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen A. Holzen whose telephone number is 703-308-2484. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30 - 5:00.

Application/Control Number: 10/722,173 Page 6

Art Unit: 3644

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Teri Luu can be reached on 703 305-7421. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sah

TERI PHAM LUU SUPERVISORY PRIMARY EXAMINER